SELECTED TEXT FROM

"Moving for Relief From an Automatic Stay in Bankruptcy"

This material is reproduced from Moving for Relief From an Automatic Stay in Bankruptcy copyright September 2006, by the Regents of the University of California. Reproduced with permission of Continuing Education of the Bar-

California. (For information about CEB publications, telephone toll free 1-800-CEB-3444 or visit our Web site, CEB.com).

Here's How and When to Do It

ACTION GUIDE

September 2006

Moving for Relief From an Automatic Stay in Bankruptcy

David Brian Lally Laura Palazzolo



CONTENTS

AB	BOUT THE AUTHORS	
AC	CKNOWLEDGMENTS	xi
CU	JTOFF DATES	x
Co	onsiderations Before Moving for Relief From Stay	
	REVIEW GENERAL RULES CREATING AUTOMATIC STAY	
2.	DETERMINE WHETHER YOUR PROPOSED ACT IS SUBJECT TO STAY	
	Initiating or Pursuing Actions Against Debtor	
	Collecting From Debtor	{
	Initiating or Pursuing Cross-Complaint or Counterclaim Against Debtor	
	Enforcing Judgment	(
	Prosecuting a Tax Court Proceeding	
	Seeking Setoff	
	Defending Against Debtor's Lawsuit	
	Defending Against Debtor's Appeal	7
	Seeking Declaratory Relief	ε
3.	DETERMINE WHETHER PROPERTY IS PROTECTED BY STAY	
	Seeking Possession or Control of Property of the Estate	10
	Foreclosing or Disposing of Property of the Estate	
	Seeking Liens Against Property of the Estate	
	Seeking Liens Against Debtor's Retained Property	11
4.	DETERMINE DURATION OF STAY	12
5.	TAKE ACTIONS THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE AUTOMATIC STAY	17
	Representing Creditors Generally	17
	Representing a Governmental Entity Creditor	20
6.	DETERMINE PERSONS OR ENTITIES (OTHER THAN DEBTOR) AGAINST WHOM	
	YOU MAY PROCEED	21
7.	KNOW THE CONSEQUENCES FOR VIOLATING THE STAY	24
8.	CONSIDER ALTERNATIVES TO MOVING FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY	26
Wh	en Determining Appropriate Relief to Seek	28
a ·	REVIEW AVAILABLE KINDS OF RELIEF FROM STAY	00
J.	If Debt is Secured by Real Property	
10	DETERMINE WHICH GROUNDS FOR RELIEF ARE AVAILABLE	29
10.	DETERMINE WHICH GROUND FOR RELIEF ARE AVAILABLE	29
	For Cause	
	Lack of Adequate Protection as Cause	31
	Lack of Equity in Property and Property Not Necessary to Decessaries in	32
	Lack of Equity in Property, and Property Not Necessary to Reorganization	
	Single Asset Real Estate	
	In Rem Relief	38
.	sing for Polisi From Ohno	
IVI O	ving for Relief From Stay	38
12.	CONSIDER SEEKING STIPULATION FOR RELIEF OR MODIFICATION OF STAY	38
	Contents of Stipulation	
13.	IF YOU OBTAIN A STIPULATION, FILE STIPULATION, MOTION FOR APPROVAL,	
	AND PROPOSED ORDER WITH BANKRUPTCY COURT	42
1/	FOLLOW DELIEF FROM STAY PROCEDURES	4

15.	IF APPROPRIATE, PREPARE MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY	4 ⁻
	SERVE ALL PARTIES WITH NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION	50
17.	IF APPROPRIATE, CONSIDER EX PARTE RELIEF FROM STAY OR ORDER	
	SHORTENING TIME	52
	IF APPROPRIATE, ENGAGE APPRAISERS AND OTHER EXPERTS	
19.	CONDUCT ANY NEEDED DISCOVERY	
	Interrogatories and Requests for Admission	
	Debtor's Exam	
	Depositions	57
Se	eking Relief at Preliminary Hearing	57
	PREPARE FOR HEARING	
21.	PRESENT YOUR CASE AT THE PRELIMINARY HEARING	58
22.	OBTAIN COURT RULING FOLLOWING PRELIMINARY HEARING	60
	PREPARE ORDER IF RELIEF GRANTED	
24.	ESTABLISH PROCEDURES FOR FINAL HEARING IF RELIEF IS DENIED	60
Se	eking Relief at Final Hearing	64
	PREPARE FOR FINAL HEARING	
	PRESENT YOUR CASE AT FINAL HEARING	
	OBTAIN COURT RULING AND SUBMIT PROPOSED ORDER	
۷1.	Potential Court Rulings	
	If You Win	
	If You Lose	
28	OBTAIN CERTIFIED ORDER IF REQUIRED	
	APPEAL ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF	
	pendixes	
	EXPLANATION OF TERMS	
	NOTICE OF IMPOSITION OF AUTOMATIC STAY	77
	APPLICATION FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME TO HEAR MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY	79
D.	DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME TO HEAR MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY	81
E.	ORDER SHORTENING TIME TO HEAR MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY	
	ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM STAY FOLLOWING EX PARTE HEARING	
G.	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY	87
H.	RELIEF FROM STAY COVER SHEET	90
I.	NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING AND MOTION FOR ORDER TERMINATING AUTOMATIC STAY	92
J.	DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER TERMINATING AUTOMATIC STAY	94
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE RE MOTION FOR ORDER TERMINATING AUTOMATIC STAY AS TO PERSONAL PROPERTY	
L.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION UNDER FED R BANKR P 4001(D) FOR ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION RE RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY (HEARING SCHEDULED)	
M.	CREDITOR'S DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY	
N.	PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER RE FINAL HEARING ON MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY	
Ο.	APPRAISER'S DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY	
P.	ORDER TERMINATING AUTOMATIC STAY AS TO PERSONAL PROPERTY	100

Q.	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER TERMINATING AUTOMATIC STAY AS TO PERSONAL PROPERTY COLLATERAL	. 113
R.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY (REAL PROPERTY) (CENTRAL DISTRICT)1	
S.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY (REAL PROPERTY) (CENTRAL DISTRICT)	
T.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY (UNLAWFUL DETAINER) (CENTRAL DISTRICT)	
U.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY (UNLAWFUL DETAINER) (CENTRAL DISTRICT)	
V.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY (PERSONAL PROPERTY) (CENTRAL DISTRICT)	
W.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY (PERSONAL PROPERTY) (CENTRAL DISTRICT)	
Χ.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY (ACTION IN NON-BANKRUPTCY FORUM) (CENTRAL DISTRICT)	
Y.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY (ACTION IN NON-BANKRUPTCY FORUM) (CENTRAL DISTRICT)	
	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY (CENTRAL DISTRICT)	. 167
	PROPERTY). (SOUTHERN DISTRICT)	. 169
DD.	MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY (UNLAWFUL DETAINER) (SOUTHERN DISTRICT)	. 172
Tab	oles	. 174
	BLE OF STATUTES, REGULATIONS, AND RULES	
TAE	BLE OF CASES	. 177

About the Authors

DAVID BRIAN LALLY

David Brian Lally is the principal in the Law Offices of David Brian Lally, Aliso Viejo, specializing in bankruptcy litigation in all bankruptcy districts in California. He is a member of the Los Angeles County Bar Association, Los Angeles Bankruptcy Forum, Orange County Bar Association, Orange County Bankruptcy Forum, and a former member of the Bankruptcy Forms Committee for the United States Bankruptcy Court, Central District of California, representing the local bar. Mr. Lally is also a Judge Pro Tem of the Los Angeles Unified Court, sitting as a Judge for Traffic and Small Claims Court. He is a frequent speaker for numerous bar associations on a variety of complex bankruptcy issues. Mr. Lally received his J.D. from California Western School of Law, an Advanced Diploma in International Law from McGeorge School of Law, and his B.S. (Magna Cum Laude) from California State University at Long Beach.

LAURA A. PALAZZOLO

Laura A. Palazzolo is an associate in Berliner Cohen, San Jose. She practices general business litigation, representing clients in federal and state court, and counsels individual and corporate clients on bankruptcy matters in all bankruptcy districts in California. She is a member of the American Bar Association and the Santa Clara Bar Association. Ms. Palazzolo received her J.D. from Lincoln Law School of San Jose, recognized as valedictorian and most outstanding graduate, and her B.A. (Summa Cum Laude) from San Jose State University.

Acknowledgments

CEB appreciates and gratefully acknowledges the valuable contributions to this Action Guide of the following consultants:

For their contribution to previous editions of this Action Guide, CEB thanks Janet R. Walworth of Business Finance Capital Corporation, San Jose; Jeffrey J. Goodrich of ENDSTAY, Lisa Roberts of Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich, Palo Alto; Karen L. Borell of the Naval Facilities Engineering Command, San Bruno; Morris W. Hirsch, Vice President and Counsel, Bank of California, San Francisco; Honorable Alan Jaroslovsky, United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Iain A. Macdonald, of the Law Offices of Iain A. Macdonald, San Francisco; and Walter J. Schmidt of Martin, Crabtree, Schmidt & Zeff, Modesto, and William P. Weintraub, of Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl & Young, San Francisco.

CEB legal staff who worked on this title were Publications Attorney Norma Piatt and Legal Editors Susan R. Kelley. Andy Rosner and Ken Scudder. Richard Dempewolf copyedited and handled production.

Moving for Relief From an Automatic Stay in Bankruptcy

by David Brian Lally and Laura Palazzolo

CONSIDERATIONS BEFORE MOVING FOR RELIEF FROM STAY

STEP 1. REVIEW GENERAL RULES CREATING AUTOMATIC STAY

REVIEW STATUTES AND RULES

Bankruptcy law is comprised of:

- a. An extensive federal statutory framework contained in Title 11 of the United States Code (Bankruptcy Code); and
- b. A set of procedural rules, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (Fed R Bankr P 1001–9036).

Understand Specialized Nature of Proceeding

Bankruptcy is a very specialized area of law. It is critical to be familiar with the bankruptcy laws and rules before moving for relief from stay in a bankruptcy case filed by a debtor. Knowledge of local bankruptcy rules and practice is essential. The United States Bankruptcy Courts for the four districts in California each have their own local rules governing relief from stay and related bankruptcy procedures, which are available on the courts' websites at:

- a. http://www.cacb.uscourts.gov/ (central district);
- b. http://www.canb.uscourts.gov/ (northern district);
- c. http://www.casb.uscourts.gov/ (southern district); and
- d. http://www.caeb.uscourts.gov/ (eastern district).

See step 14, below.

Filing Bankruptcy Petition

The filing of a bankruptcy petition (generally under either Chapter 7 (liquidation; 11 USC §§701–707), Chapter 11 (reorganization; 11 USC §§1101–1146) or Chapter 13 (individual reorganization; 11 USC §§1301–1330)), or an involuntary petition filed against the debtor (see 11 USC §303)) triggers:

- a. The formation of the bankruptcy estate comprised of the debtor's property (11 USC §541(a)); and
- b. The imposition of the automatic stay (11 USC §362(a)).

Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005

The Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005 (BAPCPA):

- a. Became effective generally for bankruptcy cases commenced on or after October 17, 2005; and
- b. Was enacted to correct certain perceived abuses by debtors.

NOTE

This Action Guide incorporates substantial changes made to bankruptcy laws and practice by BAPCPA as they pertain to the automatic stay of 11 USC §362.

OPERATION OF AUTOMATIC STAY

The automatic stay of 11 USC §362:

Stays Acts

Prevents commencement or continuation of any act against debtor (see step 2, below) or property of debtor's estate (see step 3, below). 11 USC §362(a).

Is Self-Executing

Is self-executing and effective on filing the petition (*Gruntz v Los Angeles (In re Gruntz)* (9th Cir 2000) 202 F3d 1074, 1081), and applies even if the bankruptcy petition is ultra vires or a sham. See *Wekell v U.S.* (9th Cir 1994) 14 F3d 32; step 2, below.

Purpose of Stay

The automatic stay is a fundamental protection afforded debtors under the bankruptcy laws. The stay:

- a. Creates a "breathing spell" (see *Barnett v Lewis* (1985) 170 CA3d 1079, 1088, 217 CR 80) to allow a debtor to formulate a repayment or reorganization plan according to provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, without interference from creditors;
- b. Benefits creditors by preventing a race to the courthouse by creditors; and
- c. Evens the playing field among unsecured creditors (whose debts are not secured by liens on debtor's property) by preventing any single creditor from taking a disproportionate share of estate property to the detriment of other similarly situated creditors.

EXCEPTIONS TO STAY

There are many statutory exceptions to the automatic stay, including, but not limited to:

- a. Criminal proceedings (11 USC §362(b)(1));
- b. Certain family law matters (11 USC§362(b)(2)); and
- c. Public health and safety proceedings (11 USC §362(b)(4), (b)(23), (b)(28)). See step 5, below.

LIMITATIONS ON STAY

BAPCPA dramatically altered the stay protection afforded debtors by imposing several limitations on the creation and duration of the automatic stay under certain circumstances. The limitations added by BAPCPA are summarized below. For discussion of limitations on stay, see step 4, below.

Prior Filings

The automatic stay is limited when a debtor has filed multiple bankruptcy petitions, e.g.:

a. Stay is limited to 30 days when the debtor previously filed a bankruptcy petition that was dismissed within 1 year of the current case, other than under 11 USC §707(b) (11 USC §362(c)(3)); and

b. No stay goes into effect when the debtor previously filed two cases that were dismissed within 1 year of the current case (11 USC §362(c)(4)); however, the stay may be extended or reinstated by debtor's motion demonstrating that the current case was filed in good faith (see 11 USC §362(c)(3)(B), (c)(4)(B); step 4, below);

NOTE

It is presumed that a multiple filing was made in bad faith if certain conditions are met, including that there has been no "substantial change" in the debtor's financial condition since the prior filing. See 11 USC \$362(c)(3)(C), (c)(4)(D); step 4, below.

60-day Limitation

The stay terminates as to bankruptcy cases generally after 60 days unless (11 USC §362(e)(2)):

- a. Final decision is rendered during the 60-day period;
- b. The 60-day period is extended by agreement of all parties; or
- c. The 60-day period is extended by the court for a specified time period as required for "good cause." See steps 4, 10, below.

In Rem Relief

With respect to real property, under new 11 USC §362(d)(4), a creditor may now obtain an in rem order for relief from stay:

- a. When certain conditions are met, including the existence of multiple bankruptcy filings concerning the real property (11 USC §362(d)(4)(B)); and
- b. If the relief from stay order is properly recorded according to state law:
 - (1) The order becomes an exception to the stay (see 11 USC §362(b)(20));
 - (2) Thus, no stay is created for 2 years in any subsequent bankruptcy case by the debtor (11 USC §362(b)(20)). See step 4, below.

STEP 2. DETERMINE WHETHER YOUR PROPOSED ACT IS SUBJECT TO STAY

ASSUME STAY APPLIES

Because the stay is meant to protect the debtor, some judges take a very strong and harsh approach to violations of the automatic stay. See step 7, below; 11 USC §362(k). Thus, when representing creditors, if you are unclear whether there is a stay, or there is no clear authority demonstrating that the stay does not apply:

- a. The wise approach is simply to file a motion for relief from stay and obtain an order from the court;
- b. The time frame to obtain an order terminating the stay is worth the wait compared to the alternative, *i.e.*, opposing a motion for sanctions for violating the stay.

SCOPE OF STAY IS BROAD

The scope of the stay is *very* broad and serves as one of the most important protections of bankruptcy law. See *Eskanos & Adler, P.C., v Leetien* (9th Cir 2002) 309 F3d 1210; *Chugach Timber Corp. v Northern Stevedoring &*